Reaching Zen in Your Cluster Coordination

Philipp Krenn



Oxeraa















Concepts Cluster, Node, Index, Shard, Document, ID





https://github.com/xeraa/elastic-docker/tree/master/rolling_upgrade

elasticsearch1:

image: docker.elastic.co/elasticsearch/elasticsearch:\$ELASTIC_VERSION
environment:

- node.name=elasticsearch1
- ES_JAVA_OPTS=-Xms512m -Xmx512m
- discovery.zen.ping.unicast.hosts=elasticsearch2,elasticsearch3
- discovery.zen.minimum_master_nodes=2

volumes:

- esdata_upgrade1:/usr/share/elasticsearch/data

ports:

- 9201:9200

networks:

- esnet



Cluster Coordination?







Cluster Settings ndex Metadata Lots more





GET _cluster/state Only move forward Do not lose data



```
"cluster_name" : "docker-cluster",
"cluster_uuid" : "nOHcm7Q3R5yMN5z1PoG6UQ",
"version" : 29,
"state_uuid" : "Of1zGOnoRaGgIfYw_w58MA",
"master_node" : "P9UHiA-YSkesOfR7-G50_Q",
"blocks" : { },
"nodes" : {
  "P9UHiA-YSkesOfR7-G50_Q" : {
    "name" : "elasticsearch3",
    "ephemeral_id" : "MdWyvnTfRCuhzD9ftWtoDw",
```

```
"transport_address" : "172.21.0.3:9300",
"attributes" : {
```



• • •

A COMPONENTS Discovery Master Election Custer State Publication



Zen to Zen2 Not pluggable





https://www.elastic.co/guide/en/elasticsearch/resiliency/current/index.html

Repeated network partitions can cause cluster state updates to be lost STATUS: DONE, v7.0.0)

And more



https://github.com/elastic/elasticsearch-formal-models TLA+ specification TLC model checking



https://github.com/elastic/elasticsearch-formal-models/blob/ master/cluster/isabelle/Preliminaries.thy

text \<open>It works correctly on finite and nonempty sets as follows:\<close>

```
theorem
  fixes S :: "Term set"
  assumes finite: "finite S"
  shows maxTerm_mem: "S \<noteq> {} \<Longrightarrow> maxTerm S \<in> S"
    and maxTerm_max: "\<And> t'. t' \<in> S \<Longrightarrow> t' \<le> maxTerm S"
proof -
  presume "S \<noteq> {}"
  with assms
  obtain t where t: "t \<in> S" "\<And> t'. t' \<in> S \<Longrightarrow> t' \<le> t"
  proof (induct arbitrary: thesis)
    case empty
    then show ?case by simp
    • • •
```



Discovery Where are master-eligible nodes? Is there a master already?









discovery.zen.ping.unicast.hosts → discovery.seed_hosts

static

discovery.zen.hosts_provider → discovery.seed_providers

dynamic (file, EC2, GCE,...)



Master Election Agree which node should be master Form a cluster







discovery.zen. minimum master nodes Trust users? Scaling up or down?



Three Node Cluster





















































cluster. initial master_nodes List of node names for the very first election



OK to set on multiple nodes as long as they are all consistent



Ignored once node has joined a cluster even if restarted



Unnecessary when joining new node to existing cluster



Full cluster restart: Set cluster.initial_master_nodes

Rolling upgrade: cluster.initial_master_nodes not required



Demo Upgrade path $6.7 \rightarrow 7.0, 6.8 \rightarrow 7.1+$

Rolling upgrade — one at a time:

docker-compose up -d --no-deps elasticsearch3

docker-compose up -d --no-deps elasticsearch2

docker-compose up -d --no-deps elasticsearch1

docker-compose up -d --no-deps kibana



sticsearch3 sticsearch2 sticsearch1 kibana

Full Cluster Restart

discovery.zen.minimum_master_nodes and cluster.initial_master_nodes unnecessary



CUSICI Scaing Master-ineligible: as before Adding master-eligible: just do it Removing master-eligible: just do it As long as you remove less than half of them at once



Scale down to a single node POST /_cluster/voting_config_exclusions/elasticsearch3 POST /_cluster/voting_config_exclusions/elasticsearch2



Cluster Rebuild Empty cluster.initial_master_nodes



elasticsearch2

LOY

{"type": "server", "timestamp": "2019-05-24T14:02:51,173+0000", "level": "WARN", "component": "o.e.c.c.ClusterFormationFailureHelper", "cluster.name": "docker-cluster", "node.name": "elasticsearch2", "message":



```
"master not discovered yet,
```

this node has not previously joined a bootstrapped (v7+) cluster, and [cluster.initial_master_nodes] is empty on this node: have discovered [

```
{elasticsearch1}{pSUJ60tSRWSrcWkRevLfyA}{_jIaabgyTQ0HA0jcwUruIQ}
    \{192.168.112.3\}\{192.168.112.3:9300\}
    {...},
{elasticsearch3}{ngaTCze8QHSHydCXsttXyw}{mbIad-A4SLOJvP7Ava5dEw}
    \{192.168.112.4\}\{192.168.112.4:9300\}
    {...}
```

];



```
discovery will continue using
        [192.168.112.3:9300, 192.168.112.4:9300]
        from hosts providers and [
    {elasticsearch2}{iANt64LESxqjJv8tHV5KKw}{KobYEuQ2TnamsiOefTUXgQ}
        \{192.168.112.2\}\{192.168.112.2:9300\}
        {...}
from last-known cluster state;
node term O, last-accepted version O in term O"
```



Agree on cluster state updates Broadcast updates to all nodes







- -----









E CE A UEV Cluster State & Coordination Majority Failure Scenarios





Zen to Zen2 Faster, safer, more debuggable





https://www.elastic.co/guide/en/elasticsearch/reference/7.4/modules-discoverysettings.html

Do not change



Reaching Zen in Your Cluster Coordination

Philipp Krenn



Oxeraa